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## Introduction

The Village of Fox River Grove is a community of approximately 5,000 residents located forty miles northwest of downtown Chicago, Illinois. It is strategically located along the Union Pacific Railroad / Metra Union Pacific Northwest (UP-NW) Commuter Rail Line at the intersection of U.S. Route 14 and Illinois Route 22. This location provides easy access into McHenry County from both Chicago and Lake County.

## Summary \& Conclusions

Discussions with individuals from the real estate development and brokerage communities noted the Fox River as a major asset for the Village. The Village needs to create a niche market, as there are regional shopping centers outside the study area.

Figure 1 Fox River
The demographic trends identified herein support a complimentary development scenario that would mutually benefit the RTA and the Village of Fox River Grove. In today's environment there are enough general economic concerns that would encourage caution, and a conservative approach to expectations. Qualitative information gained through interviews with individuals from a selected cross section of the real estate and development community substantially confirmed the quantitative data.


As a result of this market analysis and the conditions that exist today, the following outlines our conclusions and recommendations:

- The Village of Fox River Grove should consider further modification to its Comprehensive Plan to accommodate the preferred development scenario. The development scenario should include:
- Medium Density, mid-rise residential housing
o Commercial retail including hospitality and convenience uses
- Professional and personal service office uses
- The Village of Fox River Grove should consider stimulating development in the immediate study area by being proactive with land acquisition, building demolition and utility relocation to reposition a strategic block for development through a RFP process.
- The Village of Fox River Grove should consider specific modification to its zoning ordinances and permitting / planning process guidelines to facilitate activity in the immediate study area.
- The Village of Fox River Grove should create a joint transportation task force that has representatives from a broad group of interests. The task force members can include, but may not be limited to RTA, Metra, Pace, the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), UP Railroad, McHenry County, business, government, and residents to develop an enhanced traffic circulation and parking pattern in the immediate study area.

These conclusions and recommendations are based upon the outcome of the market study. The Project and CTE Teams analyzed draft redevelopment scenarios. Three conceptual redevelopment plans were developed based on the following five core elements of redevelopment identified by the market analysis:

- Making the proposed new Metra station a focal point of the Village's redevelopment efforts,
- Parking,
- Mixed-use development, including mid-rise residential development,
- Tying the riverfront into the development, and
- Access and circulation improvements.


## Demographic Overview

## Overview of Northeastern Illinois

The population of the Northeastern Illinois six-county area has seen an 11\% growth in from the 1990 to the 2000 census. The Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission (NIPC) is the agency responsible for projecting future population growth for the six-county area. NIPC, in the fall of 2000, projected population growth for 2020 under two scenarios: Scenario 1 ORD is the existing, improved airports alternative (O'Hare); and Scenario 2 - SSA represents the South Suburban Airport (proposed location of Peotone). Under both scenarios the projected 2020 population for Northeastern Illinois is $12 \%$ greater than the 2000 census, however, the distribution of the growth varies depending on the scenario. (Data from the 2000 Census were not available for use in the forecast update process, as the forecasts were done in September 2000, prior to the release of the 2000 Census information. Following publication of data from the 2000 Census, NIPC will generate new forecasts. The new forecasts for the six-county region will have an out-year of 2030.) ${ }^{1}$

Population in McHenry County and the Village of Fox River Grove are projected to increase under both scenarios, although each sees a larger increase under the ORD scenario (McHenry County 34\% ORD, 31\% SSA; Fox River Grove 51\% ORD, 47\% SSA). Cook and Lake Counties will also see larger increases under the ORD scenario. Because either airport improvement has an impact where the growth will occur, the 2020 projection is the average of the two alternatives.

The pie charts, Figure 2 and Figure 3 depict the percentage share of each county in 1990, 2000, and the average of the two 2020 projection scenarios is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 21990 Population


[^0]Figure 32000 Population


From 1990 to 2000, McHenry County experienced the largest percentage increase of the six-county area, a $42 \%$ increase, while Will County experienced a $41 \%$ growth. Numerically Cook and Will Counties increased the most. According to the ORD, SSA and average 2020 projection, McHenry County is anticipated to grow on average 32\%. Will and Kane Counties are expected to increase population at a higher percentage rate, $55 \%$ and $36 \%$ respectively.

Cook County, although increasing in population, saw the percentage share decrease in 2000 and further decline in 2020. Lake County experienced approximately a $25 \%$ growth in population from 1990 to 2000, and is projected to increase by $23 \%$ according to the average 2020 projection.

Figure 4 Projected 2020 Population

*Source: Average of NIPC projections under the ORD and SSA Scenarios.

## Overview of the Study Area

## Population Growth

Demographic data for Barrington, Barrington Hills, Cary, Arlington Heights, Mount Prospect, and Des Plaines were analyzed for comparative purposes.

- Barrington, Barrington Hills, and Cary statistics were analyzed because of the proximity to Fox River Grove.
- Arlington Heights, Mount Prospect and Des Plaines statistics are included as the Metra stations in these municipalities, and the relation to U.S. Route 14 is similar to the orientation of the station in Fox River Grove.

Total population for each of the jurisdictions analyzed (listed above) has increased, with the exception of Barrington Hills. Of the municipalities, the population of Fox River Grove, in real numbers, is larger than Barrington Hills.

- Fox River Grove reported a total population of nearly 4,900 in 2000. The total population of Fox River Grove increased by over 35\% in the 1990-2000 ten-year period; only Cary, with an increase of over $50 \%$, had a larger increase in population. Using the average of the NIPC 2020 projections for the ORD and SSA scenarios, the projected population growth from 2000 to 2020 is the greatest for Fox River Grove at 49\%.
- McHenry County, where both Fox River Grove and Cary are located, had the largest increase in population of the Chicagoland six-county area, growing $42 \%$; the population of Will County increased by $41 \%$ between 1990 and 2000. The growth of McHenry and Will Counties was substantially greater than that of the other four counties in the region.


## Household Formation

Similar to population, the total number of households also increased for all jurisdictions (Barrington Hills, although lost 287 people, gained 15 households). Fox River Grove reported an increase of nearly 400 households. The total number of households for Fox River Grove increased by over $30 \%$ from 1990 to 2000. Only Cary, with an increase of over 49\%, had a larger increase in households of the municipalities studied. Using the average of the NIPC 2020 projections for the ORD and SSA scenarios, the growth in households from 2000 to 2020 is the greatest for Fox River Grove at 66\%.

McHenry County saw a $42 \%$ growth in households; Will County increased by $43 \%$. Similar to population, the growth in households of McHenry and Will Counties was substantially greater than that of the other four counties in the region.

The 2000 average household size for Fox River Grove is higher than the total for Northeastern Illinois ( 2.73 compared to 2.90 ). Of the municipalities being analyzed, only Cary has a higher average household size, 3.12.

## Fox River Grove Study Area

For purposes of this study, the Fox River Grove Metra Station at U.S. Route 14 and Lincoln Avenue is considered the focal point. The market areas are defined as one, three, and fivemile concentric rings from the Metra station. The graphic in Figure 5 illustrates the rings; the table in Figure 6 lists the counties and municipalities that are fully, or are partially contained in the three rings.

Figure 5 1, 3, and 5-Mile Rings around Fox River Grove


Figure 6 Counties and Municipalities in Market Area

| Ring | Counties | Municipalities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Mile Ring | McHenry \& Lake | Fox River Grove, Cary, and Barrington Hills |
| 3-Mile Ring | McHenry \& Lake | Fox River Grove, Cary, Barrington Hills, Trout Valley, and Lake <br> Barrington |

5-Mile Ring

McHenry, Lake, and Cook

Fox River Grove, Cary, Barrington Hills, Trout Valley, Lake Barrington, Tower Lakes, North Barrington, Carpentersville, Algonquin, Lake in the Hills, Crystal Lake, Prairie Grove, Oakwood Hills, Island Lake, and Port Barrington

Demographic information for the 1, 3, and 5 -mile rings has been provided by Claritas Incorporated, which allows for a precise demographic profile. Claritas has developed models that take census data and convert it to a low-level of geography, termed "ZIP+4". The ZIP+4 is a postal code assigned by the U.S. Postal Service to facilitate address identification and mail sorting to the level of an office building, one side of a street, specific departments within a firm or a group of post office boxes. Therefore, the level of detail provided is an accurate demographic profile of the study area.

Information on population and number of households from the 1990 and 2000 census, and the 2005 projection for the 1, 3, and 5 -mile rings is shown in the tables below. As the tables in Figure 7 through Figure 9 show, the household size over time is fairly constant within each of the three rings over time. However, the household size increases slightly as the ring size grows.

Figure 7 Population \& Household Trends - 1-Mile Ring

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2005 Projection |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 5,355 | 6,700 | 7,174 |
| Households | 1,893 | 2,386 | 2,559 |
| Household Size | 2.83 | 2.81 | 2.80 |

Figure 8 Population \& Household Trends - 3-Mile Ring

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2005 Projection |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Population | 22,349 | 29,577 | 32,140 |
| Households | 7,606 | 10,111 | 10,997 |
| Household Size | 2.94 | 2.93 | 2.92 |

Figure 9 Population \& Household Trends - 5-Mile Ring

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2005 Projection |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Population | 60,073 | 78,496 | 85,006 |
| Households | 20,321 | 26,663 | 28,926 |
| Household Size | 2.96 | 2.94 | 2.94 |

Source: Claritas, Inc. April 26, 2002 Report
Population, as the table shows, increases for each of the rings in each year. The percentage change from 1990 to 2000 was $25 \%, 32 \%$, and $31 \%$ for the 1,3 , and 5 -mile rings,
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respectively. Similarly, population is projected to increase by $7 \%, 9 \%$, and $8 \%$ for the three rings by 2005.

The number of households increases for each of the rings in each year. The percentage change from 1990 to 2000 was $26 \%, 33 \%$, and $31 \%$ for the 1,3 , and 5 -mile rings, respectively. These percentage changes mirror those of population growth. In addition, the number of households is projected to increase by $7 \%$ for the 1 -mile ring and $9 \%$ for the 3 and 5-mile rings by 2005.

Population growth, household size, and formation are indicators of housing demand. The demand for housing will remain the same as today, as the data shows that both population and the number of households are growing at the same rate. The choice in housing options should also be considered, or the lack of choice which may skew demand in a particular market.

## Household Income

The chart in Figure 10 depicts the range of 2000 estimated household income levels by category for the 1,3 , and 5 -mile rings. The Claritas report was run before the U.S. Census Bureau released information on income; therefore, the income data is considered to be estimated values.

Figure 102000 Estimated Household Income

*Source: Claritas, Inc. April 26, 2002 Report
The 2000 estimated income levels is shown in Figure 11, and includes average and median household income, and per capita income.

Figure 11 Estimated Income Levels

|  | 1-Mile Ring | 3-Mile Ring | 5-Mile Ring |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Average HH Income | $\$ 94,647$ | $\$ 109,567$ | $\$ 119,474$ |
| Median HH Income | $\$ 75,456$ | $\$ 83,159$ | $\$ 84,575$ |
| Per Capita Income | $\$ 33,705$ | $\$ 37,491$ | $\$ 40,637$ |

*Source: Claritas, Inc. April 26, 2002 Report
The average household income level is expected to grow by $23 \%$ for the 1 and 3 -mile rings and $25 \%$ for the 5 -mile ring over the next five years. The table in Figure 12 shows the growth in average household income, Figure 13 shows the data graphically.

The average household income for the 1-mile ring rose by $74 \%$ from 1990 to 2000 and is anticipated to increase by another $23 \%$ by 2005.

The average household income for the 3-mile ring rose by $68 \%$ from 1990 to 2000 and is anticipated to increase by another $23 \%$ by 2005.

The average household income for the 5-mile ring rose by $72 \%$ from 1990 to 2000 and is anticipated to increase by another $25 \%$ by 2005 .

Figure 12 Average Household Income - Table

|  | 1-Mile Ring | 3-Mile Ring | 5-Mile Ring |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For 1990 | $\$ 54,361$ | $\$ 65,254$ | $\$ 69,481$ |
| 2000 Estimate | $\$ 94,647$ | $\$ 109,567$ | $\$ 119,474$ |
| Projection for 2005 | $\$ 116,747$ | $\$ 135,160$ | $\$ 149,351$ |

*Source: Claritas, Inc. April 26, 2002 Report

Figure 13 Average Household Income - Chart


[^1]
## Residential Overview

## Residential Single Family Sales

## Growth

According to NIPC demographic information, Fox River Grove had a total of 1,734 housing units in 2000. Of these units 1,406 were owner-occupied units. This was an increase of 347 units, or a $33 \%$ increase in owner-occupied units since 1990. Annualized, this is 35 units or $3.3 \%$ per year.

McHenry County had a total of 92,908 housing units in 2000 . Of these units 74,391 were owner occupied. This was a 24,102 unit or a $48 \%$ increase in owner-occupied units since 1990. Annualized, this is 2,410 units or $4.8 \%$ per year.

## Sales

According to the Chicago Tribune Online Edition, the Fox River Grove area had 200 homes sell from June 1, 2000 through March 1, 2002 as depicted in Figure 14. This equates to 9.5 homes per month or 114 homes per year. The range of sale prices during this period is great; as evidenced by the lower valued transaction in June $2001(\$ 49,900)$ to the highest value realized in April 2001 ( $\$ 1,140,000$ ). The average sales price in this time frame is approximately $\$ 243,000$.

Figure 14 Homes Sold June 2000 - March 2002
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## Single Family Home Sales

Figure 15 Single Family Home Sales

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { January - } \\ \text { February } 2002 \end{gathered}$ | Year 2001 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June - } \\ \text { December } 2000 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$100,000 |  | 5 | 5 |
| \$100,000-\$149,999 | 1 | 29 | 11 |
| \$150,000-\$199,999 | 3 | 42 | 4 |
| \$200,000-\$249,999 |  | 24 | 2 |
| \$250,000-\$299,999 | 1 | 18 | 7 |
| \$300,000-\$349,999 |  | 6 | 3 |
| \$350,000-\$399,999 |  | 9 | 3 |
| \$400,000-\$449,999 |  | 1 |  |
| \$450,000-\$499,999 |  | 4 | 4 |
| \$500,000 + | 2 | 13 | 3 |
| Total | 7 | 151 | 42 |

*Source: Chicago Tribune.
In 2001, the Fox River Grove area recorded 151 homes sold. The average sales price was $\$ 246,000$. Of the 151 units 95 , or $63 \%$, sold in the price range of $\$ 100,000-\$ 249,000$ as shown in the tables in Figure 15 and Figure 16.

## 2001 Home Sales

Figure 162001 Home Sales

|  | Year 2001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$100,000 | 5 |
| \$100,000-\$149,00 | 29 |
| \$150,000-\$199,999 | 42 |
| \$200,000-\$249,999 | 24 |
| \$250,000-\$299,999 | 18 |
| \$300,000-\$349,999 | 6 |
| \$350,000-\$399,999 | 9 |
| \$400,000 - \$449,999 | 1 |
| \$450,000-\$499,999 | 4 |
| \$500,000 + | 13 |
| Total | 151 |

*Source: Chicago Tribune.
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## New Home Permits

Figure 17 New Single Family Home Permits

| New Single Family Dwelling Units <br> Calendar Years 1987 - July 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Calendar Year | Dwelling Units |
| 1987 | 58 |
| 1988 | 59 |
| 1989 | 21 |
| 1990 | 39 |
| 1991 | 38 |
| 1992 | 148 |
| 1993 | 18 |
| 1994 | 8 |
| 1995 | 26 |
| 1996 | 24 |
| 1997 | 23 |
| 1998 | 19 |
| 1999 | 28 |
| 2000 | 42 |
| 2001 | 38 |
| 2002 | 18 |
| Total | 607 |

*Source: Chicago Tribune.
As the data shows in Figure 17, over the past $15 \frac{1}{2}$ years there is an average of just over 3 new permits per month, or an average of approximately 39 per year.

## Existing Rental Supply

The tables in Figure 18 and Figure 19 show the existing rental supply in the 1, 3, and 5-mile rings. The tables show the complex name and address, number of units, occupancy level, and apartment size and price. Only rental properties where information could be obtained are listed in the tables, therefore other rental properties exist in the study area.

## 1 and 3-Mile Rings

Figure 18 Existing Rental Supply - 1 \& 3-Mile Rings

| Municipality | Complex Name \& Address | Number of Units \& Occupancy Level | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fox River Grove | Beachway Apartments 114 Beachway Road (815) 477-5300 | 12 Units 95\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { br } \$ 725 \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 810 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 300 Northwest Highway (847) 577-0400 | 4 Units 100\% occupancy | 1 br \$650 |
|  | 306 Northwest Highway (847) 516-8437 | 4 Units 100\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Studio \$400 } \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 650 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 415 Lincoln Avenue (847) 516-2583 | 6 Units 90\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { br } \$ 635 \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 745 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 419 Lincoln Avenue (847) 577-0400 | 5 Units 100\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { br } \$ 650 \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 750 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 421 Lincoln Avenue <br> (847) 577-0400 | 8 Units 100\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { br } \$ 650 \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 750 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 300 Lincoln Avenue (847) 584-7479) | 6 Units 70 \% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { br } \$ 665 \\ & 2 \text { br } \$ 800 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cary | Oak Knoll Apartments 200 N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street <br> (847) 639-0568 | 150 Units | 1br/1ba \$824 <br> 2br/1ba \$959 <br> 800-900 sq ft |
|  | Oak Knoll Apartments 401 N. $1^{\text {st }}$ Street <br> (847) 639-2590 | 150 Units | 1br/1ba \$824 2br/1ba \$959 800-900 sq ft |
| Total: |  | 345 Units |  |

## 5-Mile Ring

Figure 19 Existing Rental Supply - 5-Mile Ring

| Municipality | Complex Name \& Address | Number of Units \& Occupancy Level | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carpentersville | Elm Apartments 60 Elm Avenue (847) 428-1927 | 9 Units | 8 Units \$670 <br> 1 remodeled Unit \$720 |
|  | Fox View Apartments 3 Oxford Road (847) 428-7771 | 373 Units 96\% occupancy | 2br \& 3br/1ba <br> Section 8 income based rent level |
|  | Maple Ridge Apartments 525 Maple Avenue <br> (847) 428-8363 | 128 Units 100\% occupancy | 1br/1ba \$550 2br/1ba \$645 |
|  | Meadowdale Apartments 303 L. W. Besinger Drive (847) 428-6404 | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \& 2 b r / 1 \mathrm{ba} \\ & \$ 642-\$ 757 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Spring Grove Apartments 170 Golfview Lane (847) 428-2791 | 108 Units 60\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \& 2 b r / 1 \mathrm{ba} \\ & \$ 525-\$ 710 \end{aligned}$ |
| Crystal Lake | Buckingham Court Apartments 460 Buckingham Drive (815) 477-2004 | 68 Units 97\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,2, 3br/1, } 2 \text { ba } \\ & \$ 760-\$ 1,025 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Briarwood West Apartments 1470 Briarwood Circuit <br> (815) 459-7788 <br> (815) 455-6200 | $1^{\text {st }}$ property 66 units <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ property 50 units <br> 100\% occupancy | Section 8 income based rent level |
|  | Camelot Apartments 951 Golf Course Road (815) 455-7250 | N/A | 1-3br/1-2ba \$790-\$1,045 $800-1,150 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
|  | Darlington Court Apartments 560 Darlington Lane (815) 455-0540 | 235 Units 88\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-2br/1-2ba } \\ & \$ 765-\$ 880 \end{aligned}$ |
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| Municipality | Complex Name \& Address | Number of Units \& Occupancy Level | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Skyridge Club 1395 Skyridge Drive (815) 455-9100 | 364 Units 85\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2 \mathrm{br} / 1-2 \mathrm{ba} \\ & \$ 885-\$ 1,290 \\ & 631-1,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Randall Hill at the Villages 1637 Carlemont Drive (815) 479-0800 | 196 Units <br> 87\% occupancy | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2 \mathrm{br} / 1-2 \mathrm{ba} \\ & \$ 875-\$ 1,490 \\ & 767-1,164 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Villager Apartments 77 S. Williams Street (815) 459-7788 | 116 Units 100\% occupancy | 1br/1ba units <br> 2 \& 3br Townhomes <br> Subsidized |
| Lake in the Hills | Prairie Point Apartments 1300 Cunat Court (847) 854-8107 | 106 Units | Studio, 1br/1ba, 2br/1ba \& 2br/2ba $\$ 820-\$ 1,210$ $625-1,275 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Total: |  | 848 Units |  |

## Pipeline Projects

The table in Figure 20 shows the pipeline projects in the 1, 3, and 5-mile rings. Only information that could be obtained is listed in the table, and all happen to be in the 5-mile ring. Other pipeline projects probably exist in the study area.

Figure 20 Pipeline Projects

| Municipality | Complex Name \& Address | Number of Units \& Number Built | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal Lake | Walnut Glen Townhomes | 46 Units None Built |  |
|  | Park Place Townhomes | 178 Units 38 Built |  |
| Lake in the Hills | Coventry Townhomes Haligus Road | 176 Units | Anticipated construction Fall 2003 |
|  | Boulder Ridge Golf Course Duplexes (extension, highend) | 242 Units |  |
| Total: |  | 642 Units |  |

## Demand and Supply Conclusions - Apartments

The Station Area Plan is shown in Figure 21. More information on how it was developed can be found in Station Area Planning Study Report, Chapter 7, Concept Plans.
Figure 21 Station Area Plan


The plan identifies a total of 237 multi-family residential units in Buildings C through L. To transition in the proposed development a potential phasing plan has been developed and is highlighted in the following tables. There are 138 proposed apartment units highlighted in Figure 22, and 99 proposed condominium units shown in Figure 23.

Figure 22 Phase I \& II Residential Units

| Phase | Building | Number of Units | Size / Unit |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phase I <br> (South of U.S. <br> Route 14) | Building G | Building H | 24 Units |
|  | Building J | 20 Units | $1,400 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Total Phase I: |  | 16 Units | $1,500 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Phase II <br> (North of U.S. <br> Route 14) | Building C | Building E | Building F |
|  |  | 28 Units | $1,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Total Phase II: |  | 24 Units | $1,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Total: |  | $\mathbf{7 8}$ Units |  |

The multi-family units shown can be in the form of apartment or townhouse development. In the 1 and 3 -mile ring there are 345 apartment units, with an average occupancy rate of 94 percent. In the 5 -mile ring, there are 1,819 apartment units with a 90 percent occupancy rate. Again, not all occupancy rates are known, therefore the information here indicates the average of the known rates. In the overall study area, there are 2,164 units with an average occupancy rate of 92 percent.

Given the existing occupancy rate level, an additional 138 units would likely be absorbed into the market. As discussed earlier, population growth, household size, and formation are indicators of housing demand. The demand for housing will remain the same as today, as the data shows that both population and the number of households are growing at the same rate. Fox River Grove is a constrained geographic area, and the only way to continue meeting current absorption rates is to have higher densities, or to grow vertically. It is understood that the Village may choose only to have owner-occupied housing, not rental apartments.

The 138 proposed units range in size between 1,200 and 1,500 square feet. These proposed units would be larger than most of the existing market units shown in Figures 18 and 19 (again, information on square footage is not known for all complexes). In addition, the plan includes one and a half to over two parking spaces per unit. The proposed buildings are mixed-use with space for a range of convenience, hospitality and support retail development. Phase I includes 44,100 square feet of retail space, and Phase II includes 62,100 square feet of retail space (including an 11,000 foot community/youth center). Again, this would be a mix of convenience, hospitality and support retail development, as discussed in the Retail Overview section of this document.

## Demand and Supply Conclusions - Condominiums

Figure 23 Phase III Residential Units

| Phase | Building | Number of Units | Size / Unit |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phase III | Building K | 45 Units | 1,600 sq ft |
|  | Building L | 54 Units | 1,600 sq ft |
| Total Phase III: |  | 99 Units |  |

Figure 24 Multi-Family Residential Units
The Station Area Plan identifies for future development, 99 condominium units at 1,600 square feet per unit with one and a half parking spaces per unit. As can be seen from the table in Figure 20 depicting the pipeline projects, these two buildings are much smaller developments than those in the pipeline. With the demographic trends and the land constraints in Fox River Grove, it is reasonable to assume over time the absorption of these units.


## Demand and Supply Conclusions - Single Family

Single-family housing was not considered as part of the Station Area Plan for redevelopment. Past and projected demographic trends show that the demand for housing will remain the same as today, as the data shows that both population and the number of households are growing at the same rate. Fox River Grove is a constrained geographic area, and the only way to continue meeting current absorption rates is to have higher densities, or to grow vertically.


Figure 25 Single-Family
Residential Unit

## Comparative Analysis for Arlington Heights, Mount Prospect and Des Plaines

Arlington Heights, Mount Prospect, and Des Plaines were selected as municipalities for a comparative analysis. The Metra stations in these municipalities, and the relation to U.S. Route 14, are similar to the orientation of the station in Fox River Grove. A discussion of population, households, and household size for 1990, 2000, and the 2020 NIPC projection (the average of the ORD and SSA scenarios) for each of these municipalities follows.

Although the station orientation is similar to that of Fox River Grove, the traffic profile in these three municipalities is very different than the traffic profile of Fox River Grove. The tables in Figure 28, Figure 31, and Figure 34 show the average daily traffic counts for both vehicular and truck traffic. These counts were done by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). The individual tables show the traffic counts for the municipality along with the Fox River Grove counts. The percentage difference is also shown.

## Arlington Heights

Arlington Heights has gone through a transformation from a declining downtown to a thriving downtown through the infusion of tax increment financing (TIF) dollars and public investment. Along with that, Arlington Heights has gone through tremendous population growth, an increase of $170 \%$ from 1960, and now the population is leveling out. Between 1990 and 2000, Arlington Heights saw an increase of slightly less than 1\%. The NIPC 2020 projections under both the ORD and SSA scenarios show Arlington Heights growing the same percentage rate, or $5.3 \%$, an increase of approximately 4,000 people. The table in Figure 27 shows that the household size has decreased between 1990 and 2000 and is projected to remain at the same level in 2020. The data indicates that the demand for housing would remain constant.


Figure 26 Arlington Heights Station Area

Figure 27 Population and Household Trends - Arlington Heights

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Average Projection |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population | 75,460 | 76,031 | 80,069 |
| Households | 28,810 | 30,763 | 32,535 |
| Household Size | 2.62 | 2.47 | 2.46 |

*Source: 1990 and 2000 Census; NIPC 2020 Projection, average of ORD and SSA Scenarios
The table in Figure 28 depicts the IDOT traffic counts for both Arlington Heights and Fox River Grove.

Figure 28 U.S. Route 14 Average Daily Traffic - IDOT

| Municipality | Average Daily Traffic - <br> 2001 | Average Daily Truck Traffic - 2001 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Arlington Heights | 16,500 | 800 |
| Fox River Grove | 30,900 | 4,300 |
| \% Difference | $87.3 \%$ | $437.5 \%$ |

[^3]
## Mount Prospect

Between 1990 and 2000, Mount Prospect saw a population increase of $6 \%$. The NIPC 2020 projections under both the ORD and SSA scenarios show Mount Prospect decreasing in population at a rate of $2.5 \%$, or a loss of approximately 1,400 people. The table in Figure 30 shows that the household size has remained constant between 1990 and 2000 and is projected to decrease in 2020. Since the number of households is projected to increase it is reasonable to assume that there would be an increase in housing demand.


Figure 29 Mount Prospect Station Area

Figure 30 Population and Household Trends - Mount Prospect

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Average Projection |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population | 53,170 | 56,265 | 54,839 |
| Households | 20,281 | 21,585 | 23,019 |
| Household Size | 2.62 | 2.61 | 2.38 |

*Source: 1990 and 2000 Census; NIPC 2020 Projection, average of ORD and SSA Scenarios
The table in Figure 31 depicts the IDOT traffic counts for both Mount Prospect and Fox River Grove.

Figure 31 U.S. Route 14 Average Daily Traffic - IDOT

| Municipality | Average Daily Traffic - <br> 2001 | Average Daily Truck Traffic - 2001 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mount Prospect | 15,000 | 850 |
| Fox River Grove | 30,900 | 4,300 |
| \% Difference | $106.0 \%$ | $405.9 \%$ |

[^4]
## Des Plaines

Between 1990 and 2000, Des Plaines experienced a 10\% population growth. The NIPC 2020 projections under both the ORD and SSA scenarios show Des Plaines growing at the same percentage rate, or $1.4 \%$, an increase of approximately 850 people. The table shows that the household size has decreased between 1990 and 2000 and is projected to continue to decrease in 2020. The data indicates that there would be an increase in housing demand.

Figure 32 Downtown Des Plaines


Figure 33 Population and Household Trends - Des Plaines


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Average Projection |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population | 53,223 | 58,720 | 59,570 |
| Households | 19,990 | 22,362 | 24,126 |
| Household Size | 2.66 | 2.63 | 2.47 |

*Source: 1990 and 2000 Census; NIPC 2020 Projection, average of ORD and SSA Scenarios
The table in Figure 34 depicts the IDOT traffic counts for both Des Plaines and Fox River Grove.

Figure 34 U.S. Route 14 Average Daily Traffic - IDOT

| Municipality | Average Daily Traffic - <br> 2001 | Average Daily Truck Traffic - 2001 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Des Plaines | 14,000 | 750 |
| Fox River Grove | 30,900 | 4,300 |
| \% Difference | $120.7 \%$ | $473.3 \%$ |

[^5]
## Retail Overview

## General Overview

Population growth and household income projections during the period 2000-2020 appear to justify market potential for a limited amount of convenience, hospitality and support retail development. According to the Claritas report, the average household income for the 5-mile ring rose by $72 \%$ from 1990 to 2000 and is anticipated to increase another $25 \%$ by 2005.

Figure 35 U.S. Route 14 Façades


It is expected that the current trend of superstore and major consumer product retailers locating on the outer points of the 5 -mile ring will continue and investment in the immediate planning area would be best served in the areas mentioned above. This is expected for the following reasons:

- Support retail such as coffee shops, dry cleaning, pharmacy, bank, convenience, etc. would be most complimentary to commuting consumers, thereby enhancing the perception of the Fox River Grove Metra Station for RTA and Metra.
- Consumer behavior patterns have been established, and buyers are conditioned to these locations.
- Property availability within the study area is limited and does not lend itself to larger retail establishments due to configuration, depth, and parking constraints.
- Economic conditions in the retail business segment are driving retailers to be extremely selective about revenue growth strategy. Emphasis is being placed on increasing sales volume in existing store locations, while focusing on "A" sites for any new store expansion. Conditions in the Fox River Grove study area including parcel size and configuration, lack of front door parking, circulation constraints and contiguous uses would dictate, at best, a "B" or "C" classification by retailers. "A" sites require large sites and are big box, high-end national retailers, e.g. Sears and Kohl's. "B" or "C" sites require less area and offer support retail attracting local tenants, e.g. local bakery or gift shop.
- Both developers and national retailers have indicated that current economic conditions have caused a much more conservative growth strategy.


## Sub-Market Overview

There are two general categories of sub-markets that appear to be most feasible for the immediate study area:

## Hospitality

Restaurant/Bar
The current supply of higher-end, sit-down restaurants is very limited in the immediate study area. Quantitatively, population growth and household income would justify an increase in the supply of this hospitality type. There was a unanimous consensus among those interviewed confirming this analysis. Some national firms have already evaluated the demographic projections and expressed an interest in Fox River Grove accordingly. There are several moderate-level sit down restaurants in the study area including Villa Blue, Hernandez's, New China, and Crawdaddy Bayou. In addition, Café Salsa is a trendy up-scale establishment.

Fast Food
There appears to be adequate demographic support for additional fast food retail in the immediate study area. McDonald's and Subway are both located in Eastgate Center, the only national retailers in the Village.

Bed \& Breakfast
The amenity of Fox River provides a unique opportunity to draw overnight stays, with little or no existing supply in the immediate study area.

## Convenience

There are a number of retail opportunities that would be supported in the immediate study area. These would include, but not be limited to the following:

Dry Cleaner Coffee Shop<br>Beauty/Barber<br>Pharmacy<br>Gift Shop<br>Flower Shop<br>Bank<br>Candy Store<br>Specialty Hardware<br>Book Store<br>Movie/Video Store<br>Boutique Shops

There are some of the convenience-type retail shops listed above in the study area.

The total square footage of proposed mixed-use retail shown in the Station Area Plan, Figure 21 in Phase I is 44,100 square feet and 62,100 square feet in Phase II (including a proposed 11,000 square foot community/youth center). The proposed buildings are mixeduse with space for a range of convenience, hospitality and support retail development.

## Marina Development/Restaurant Row

The Station Area Plan, Figure 21, proposes riverfront development between North River Road and the Fox River north of U.S. Route 14. The purpose of this area is to create development along the riverfront in Fox River Grove which is a unique and important asset to the community. Restaurants and other forms of entertainment can aid in anchoring the Marina, particularly non-chain venues or "one of a kind" opportunities. In addition, a Restaurant Row is proposed along U.S. Route 14 from Opatrny Drive to North River Road. This proposed development is intended to create a restaurant corridor to link the Downtown Business District to the River. The total proposed space for the marina and restaurant row development shown in the Station Area Plan is 33,500 square feet.

Details regarding the recommendations for building size, placement, landscaping, access and parking can be found in Appendix B, Design Guidelines.

## Development Economics

This section of the Market Study provides an order-of-magnitude discussion of cost for retail development. Data below was obtained through both market research and confirmed through developer interviews.

Rents for conventional retail space are currently in the range of $\$ 10-15.00$ per square foot. Convenience store rents appear to run slightly higher in the \$17-20.00 per square foot range, triple net (triple net is the requirement for the lessee to pay for its share of the property's taxes, insurance and operating expenses). Outlot pad sales currently carry values of approximately \$12-15.00 per square foot. Corner locations with parking can command up to $\$ 18-22.00$ per square foot.

Development costs in the region currently average $\$ 2.00$ per square foot for site development, $\$ 11.00$ per square foot for building shell and $\$ 20-25.00$ per square foot for tenant build-out.

## Office / Industrial / Public Use Overview

## Office Overview

According to the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, employment projections vary significantly for the period 1990 - 2020, based on the disposition of airport expansion. As of 1990, just over 65,000 workers were employed in McHenry County, with only 817 employed in Fox River Grove. The growth rate by 2020, assuming the existing, improved airport alternative (O'Hare) is over 74\%, while the growth rate assuming a south suburban alternative - proposed location of Peotone is under $46 \%$. As a percent of the total county employment numbers, Fox River Grove remains a constant 1\% in this calculation.

Given the marginal demographic support and nature of the market, office development in the immediate study area should be very limited to specific, professional and personal services uses, including insurance, legal, accounting, banking, etc.

## Industrial Overview

Several factors, in addition to the employment projections mentioned above, argue against considering additional industrial uses in the immediate study area:

- U.S. Route 14, in its current condition, has five times the truck traffic of comparative communities south and east of Fox River Grove. Adding industrial uses would worsen this traffic situation.
- There are no major highway routes for industrial expansion/relocation in the immediate vicinity. This would be viewed as a negative in the site selection process of the developers.
- The nature of development that the Village is seeking runs contrary to that found in the industrial use category. Therefore, industrial use is not shown in the Station Area Plan, Figure 21.


## Public Uses Overview

Green space, parks, trails and community facilities could enhance economic development activity, however, costs will have to be considered and, possibly, accommodated by the development community in some form. A key component of the Station Area Plan, Figure 21, is the Village Green. The purpose of this area is to provide open space around the Fox River Grove Metra Station/Town Center for civic uses, a potential pedestrian underpass, bike paths, walking paths, landscaping, and passive recreation and gathering areas.

The Village Green/Open Space as proposed in the Station Area Plan would be located on either side of U.S. Route 14, with the Fox River Grove Metra Station at its center. This would create a central greenway to link the northern and southern portions of the Fox River Grove Downtown Business District (DBD). More detail regarding the Village Green can be found in the Design Guidelines, Appendix B.

Development of this area directly north of the station and north of U.S. Route 14 would include a pedestrian underpass and a terraced central green space. On the south of U.S. Route 14, the pedestrian underpass would emerge on to a level Green. It is recommended that the Greens north and south of U.S. Route 14 have a minimum width of one hundred and twenty (120) feet and have a direct pedestrian connection to the Fox River Grove Metra Station Entrance from the pedestrian underpass below. Landscaped open space would be located within the two Greens.

The Village of Fox River Grove has two fire stations, one on each side of U.S. Route 14. The proposed Future Road shown in the Station Area Plan, Figure 21, may impact the fire station on the south side of U.S. Route 14 which will need to be addressed in further phases of the redevelopment process.

## Appendix B: Design Guidelines

## Village of Fox River Grove Design Guidelines

## Table Of Contents

InTRODUCTION ..... 1
General Requirements .....  2
Urban Guidelines Area A - Mixed-Use Development. ..... 5
Building Sub Areas ..... 5
Building Use ..... 7
Building Placement ..... 7
Building Height ..... 8
Parking ..... 8
Urban Guidelines Area B - Village Green And Open Space ..... 9
General ..... 9
Location and Character ..... 10
Parking ..... 10
Landscaping Character ..... 11
Potential Pedestrian Underpass ..... 11
Urban Guidelines Area C - Metra Commuter Parking Area ..... 12
General ..... 12
Location ..... 13
Access ..... 13
Dimensions ..... 14
Urban Guidelines Area D - Condominium Development ..... 14
General ..... 14
Location ..... 15
Access ..... 15
Building Placement ..... 15
Building Height ..... 16
Urban Guidelines Area E - Riverfront/ Restaurant Row ..... 17
Building Sub Areas ..... 17
General ..... 18
Access ..... 18
Building Placement ..... 18
Building Height ..... 19
Architectural Guidelines ..... 20
Acceptable Materials ..... 20
Acceptable Forms ..... 20
Parking Structures ..... 21
Pedestrian Underpasses ..... 22

## Appendix B Desigin Guidelines

LANDSCAPE GuIDELINES ..... 22
Village Green and Open Areas. ..... 22
Streetscape ..... 23
Parking ..... 24
Table of Figures
Figure 1 Fox River Grove Station Area Plan ..... 3
Figure 2 Land Use ..... 4
Figure 3 Open Space ..... 4
Figure 4 Pedestrian / Bikeway Network ..... 4
Figure 5 Traffic / Parking Network ..... 4
Figure 6 Area A Mixed-Use Development ..... 5
Figure 7 Mixed-Use Development Building Use ..... 6
Figure 8 Mixed-Use Development Building Placement ..... 7
Figure 9 Area B Town Center / Village Green ..... 8
Figure 10 Village Green Parking. ..... 9
Figure 11 Village Green Landscaping ..... 10
Figure 12 Area C Metra Commuter Parking Area ..... 11
Figure 13 Area D Condominium Development ..... 13
Figure 14 Condominium Building Placement ..... 14
Figure 15 Condominium Building Height ..... 15
Figure 16 Area E Riverfront / Restaurant Row ..... 16
Figure 17 Restaurant Row Building Placement ..... 18
Figure 18 Marina/Restaurant Row Building Height. ..... 18

## INTRODUCTION

This study provides a vision for the future redevelopment of Downtown Fox River Grove and makes recommendations for achieving that goal. These guidelines provide direction for site and building design within Downtown Fox River Grove. These guidelines represent the aspirations of the Village of Fox River Grove for the development of a Station Area / Downtown Business District (DBD) on the land adjacent to the Fox River Grove Metra Station. They are to be used as guidelines by the Village in evaluating the proposed redevelopment. Likewise, they provide the potential developers with a guide to the type of development desired by the community. The Design Guidelines establish the foundation from which diverse development projects may contribute to the identity of Downtown Fox River Grove.

The primary objectives of these guidelines are as follows:

- Provide the aesthetic parameters to maintain a "small town character" while incorporating mixed-use development (small retail shops, convenience services, townhouses, condominiums) into the DBD.
- Promote "small town character" to achieve an architectural identity for the DBD with consistent architecture and landscape design.
- Provide guidelines for streetscape / landscape improvements.
- Provide guidance for the design of usable public green space to further develop a civic core.
- Provide guidance for the design of pedestrian and vehicular networks which will link development, public spaces and transportation nodes within the proposed DBD and with the existing surrounding neighborhoods and uses.
- Provide guidance for the design of improved landscaped commuter parking within the DBD which could be utilized as shared-parking during off-peak hours and weekends.

The guidelines may be modified by the Village to best serve their tastes and needs. Additionally, if during the course of using these guidelines it becomes obvious that they are in some way unworkable or present an undue burden to potential developers in the judgement of the Village, they should be modified accordingly.

The scope of these guidelines primarily encompass the proposed Station Area Plan and include the Downtown Business District (DBD) roughly bounded by the Fox River to the west, Lucille Avenue to the east, the intersection of Algonquin Road and Lincoln Avenue to the south and Opatrny Drive to the north. These parameters may be expanded or contracted as seen fit by the Village.

The scope of these guidelines encompasses some property already developed. Future improvements to these properties, like façade treatments and signage, shall conform to these guidelines as closely as can be reasonably expected.

## General Requirements

These Design Guidelines are composed of the following:

- Station Area Plan for Fox River Grove, Figure 1
- Urban Guidelines that describe building placement, height, parking and other issues relating to the definition of public space. Urban Guidelines are divided into five (5) areas:
- Mixed-Use Development
- Town Center/Village Green
- Metra Commuter Parking Area
o Condominium Development
o Riverfront/Restaurant Row
- Architectural Guidelines that describe building/structure forms and materials.
- Landscape Guidelines that recommend landscape requirements and arrangements.

Development could conform to the following conceptual development diagrams:

- Land Use, Figure 2
- Open Space, Figure 3
- Pedestrian/Bikeway Network, Figure 4
- Traffic/Parking Network, Figure 5

Development shall conform to the Village of Fox River Grove's Zoning Ordinances, subdivision standards and building codes. However, special regulations governing this redevelopment area will, in some instances, be desirable and are recommended.

Building service areas shall be located so as not to be seen from major street frontages and/or usable public spaces. Given space constraints, particular attention will need to be paid to the species selected throughout the landscape plan to achieve the clearances desired and ensure adequate soil volumes for healthy growth.

Figure 1 Fox River Grove Station Area Plan


## Conceptual Development Diagrams

Figure 2 Land Use


Figure 4 Pedestrian/Bikeway Network


Figure 3 Open Space


Figure 5 Traffic/Parking Network


Figure 6 Area A: Mixed-Use Development


## Urban Guidelines Area A - Mixed-Use Development

## Building Sub Areas

The mixed-use development is comprised of two sub areas: Sub Area 1, the area north of U.S. Route 14 and Sub Area 2, the area south of U.S. Route 14.

## Sub Area 1 - Station Area North of U.S. Route 14

This area includes buildings along the north side of U.S. Route 14 and buildings facing the Village Green. Development of this area is intended for mixed-use (civic, service, office, retail, and residential) development and a Community/Youth Center.

It is recommended that the main entrance for all buildings face U.S. Route 14. with main entrances from the parking area between the UP-NW Line and the

## Appendix B Desigiy Guidelines

building. Secondary entrances to these buildings could be located facing adjacent parking areas on the south side of the buildings.

## Sub Area 2 - Station Area South of U.S. Route 14

Development of this area is intended for mixed-use (service, office, retail, and residential) development.

It is recommended that the main entrance for all buildings face U.S. Route 14. Secondary entrances to these buildings could be located on the southwest side of the building.

## Building Use

The building use for Area A is shown in Figure 7.
Mixed-use: retail, commercial, and professional office on first floors or community/youth center.

Residential and professional office on second floors or community/youth center.
Residential and professional office on third floors.

Figure 7 Mixed-Use Development Building Use


## Building Placement

The building placement for buildings in Area A is shown in Figure 6.
Buildings could be placed on lots within dashed areas. Building fronts should abut the sidewalk.

Setbacks will be measured from the curb at street frontages and from property lines elsewhere.

The setbacks illustrated in the Design Guidelines are not currently reflected in the existing Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance. It is recommended that the area encompassed in the Design Guidelines conform to the setbacks herein illustrated.

Figure 8 Mixed-Use Development Building Placement


## Building Height

Dimensional heights vary in accordance with Village of Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance Article IV General Regulations Section K. Story heights are based on twelve (12) feet maximum floor to floor. Maximum building height is forty (40) feet. Minimum building height is twenty-five (25) feet, as shown in Figure 7.

Building height measured relative to grade on Village Green side.

## Parking

Parking and associated circulation roads could be located in shaded areas as shown in Figure 6.

Parking and road dimensions as per Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance Article IX.
Shared off-peak parking will be provided for the DBD and residential users.
Designated Metra parking could be shared for alternative uses on weekends such as Village events and commercial/retail use.

A proposed "Future Road" would connect Lucille Avenue, which is north of U.S. Route 14, to Algonquin Road, which is south of U.S. Route 14. This would allow a continuous circle around the downtown portion of the Village and will help to improve access and circulation.

Figure 9 Area B: Town Center / Village Green


## Urban Guidelines Area B - Village Green And Open Space

## General

The purpose of this area is to provide open space around the Fox River Grove Metra Station/Town Center for civic uses, a potential pedestrian underpass, bike paths, walks, vegetation, and passive recreation and gathering areas.

## Location and Character

The Village Green/Open Space as proposed in the Station Area Plan will be located on either side of U.S. Route 14 directly across from the Fox River Grove Metra Station creating a central greenway linking the northern and southern portions of the Fox River Grove DBD. Development of this area directly north of the station and north of U.S. Route 14 would include a pedestrian underpass and a terraced central green space. It is recommended that the Greens north and south of U.S. Route 14 have a minimum width of one hundred and twenty (120) feet and shall have a direct pedestrian connection to the Fox River Grove Metra Station from the underpass below. Landscaped open space could be located within the two Village Greens.

## Parking

Parking could be provided around the periphery of the Village Green space in the area surrounding the center green spaces.

For every twelve parking stalls one tree will be planted.
Parking could be located in the hatched areas shown in Figure 10.
Shared off-peak and weekend parking could be provided for the DBD and residential users.

Figure 10 Village Green Parking


## Landscaping Character

The green open area will provide a character reminiscent of a town center and civic gathering place. It should signify the center of the Fox River Grove DBD and harmonize with the small town character theme. Trees should be planted twenty (20) feet on center along the periphery of the green space and parking area around the green space. Trees should be planted in planting beds or tree grates. An eight (8) foot walkway should be provided between the green space and the planting bed adjacent to the parking area. The planting bed adjacent to the parking area shall be six (6) feet in width, see Figure 11 for details.

Figure 11 Village Green Landscaping


## Potential Pedestrian Underpass

Both Village Greens could offer direct pedestrian paths and linkages to the Fox River Grove Metra Station and each other via an accessible pedestrian underpass.

Figure 12 Area C: Metra Commuter Parking Area


Urban Guidelines Area C - Metra Commuter Parking Area

## General

The purpose of this area is to provide for day-to-day transit commuter parking for the Fox River Grove Metra Station. Shared off-peak parking will be provided for the Fox River Grove DBD and residential users. Metra commuter parking is unique in that it is used mostly during the morning and daytime hours, with users generally pulling-in/out once per day. In the evenings and on weekends, significant amounts of the Metra parking would be available for Village events and commercial/retail use.

## Location

Commuter parking should be located in close proximity to the Fox River Grove Metra Station and platforms, and offer convenient pedestrian connections between the facilities. The existing Metra commuter parking lot along the south side of U.S. Route 14, east of Lincoln Avenue, would be expanded. The existing commuter lot along the south side of U.S. Route 14, west of Lincoln Avenue, would remain. The two proposed commuter parking lots southeast and southwest of the station would be located along the tracks between Lincoln Avenue and Algonquin Road in front of mixed-use Buildings $J$ and H . However, 75 commuter parking spaces from the 100 -space commuter lot \#2 would be relocated to other lots due to proposed Building J. Parking for commuters would be provided around the Village Green south of the Fox River Grove Metra Station and east of the Village Green behind Building H. There would be an expansion of the existing 198-space commuter lot south of the Metra UP-NW tracks and west of Lincoln Avenue. This area would include the area that is currently in front of the church. A new parking lot would also be located north of U.S. Route 14 off of Lincoln Avenue to provide additional parking for commuters. The proposed "Future Road" and development of Building G would provide an additional area for Metra commuter parking. The proposed commuter parking areas are shown in Figure 12.

A proposed parking structure could provide additional Metra commuter parking in the future. The proposed three-story structure would replace the existing 198-space commuter parking lot south of the Metra UP-NW tracks and west of Lincoln Avenue with a total of 576 spaces, or 378 new structured spaces for both Metra and commercial and residential uses. This structure would not be built until demand warranted and there is a public/private funding arrangement. Metra has often provided funding for additional new commuter spaces, but Metra does not assist in financing the replacement of historical and/or functional commuter parking spaces. With regards to the proposed parking structure, the Village would need many public and private partners involved to help fund construction.

## Access

Parking along the south side of U.S. Route 14 could be accessed from the eastbound traffic lane on U.S. Route 14. The parking area adjacent to the station and further south of the station (south of the Metra UP-NW Line) around the Village Green (and east of the Village Green) could be accessed by motorists from the east and west via Lincoln Avenue or Algonquin Road. The proposed expanded parking lot west of Lincoln Avenue could be accessed from Lincoln Avenue. The parking lot north of U.S. Route 14 could also be accessed from Lincoln Avenue or Opatrny Drive. The proposed new 56-space parking lot ( 28 commuter parking spaces) just north of Building $G$ and south of the Metra UP-NW tracks would be accessed via Algonquin Road and the proposed new Future Road that could connect to Lucille Avenue, north of U.S. Route 14. Proposed access to/from the proposed future parking structure could be from both Lincoln Avenue (as that is how the existing commuter surface lot is accessed), and off of U.S. Route 14 with Potential New Roads A and B. The Village would need many public and private partners involved to help fund these proposed new roads. Or, direct pedestrian and bicycle access from the station, parking, and residential areas to the station, Downtown Business District, and the proposed Village Green also could be provided to help provide additional improved access in those areas.

## Dimensions

It is recommended that parking spaces be eight and a half (8.5) feet by eighteen (18) feet in dimension with a twenty-two (22) foot aisle for ninety-degree parking. Eight and a half foot spaces also work well with twenty-four (24) foot aisles. The majority of Metra parking lot users will be pulling-in/-out once per day.

It is recommended that parallel parking spaces be nine (9) feet by twenty (20) feet in dimension with a twenty-two (22) foot aisle as opposed to the nine (9) foot by twenty-one (21) foot dimension shown in the Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance Article IX. Shared off-peak and weekend parking would be provided for the DBD and residential users.

Figure 13 Area D: Condominium Development


## Urban Guidelines Area D - Condominium Development

## General

The purpose of this area is to create new owner-occupied residential property in the Fox River Grove Downtown Business District. It is recommended that condominiums be
three stories high with underground parking and adjacent landscape within the required setbacks.

## Location

These Condominiums are proposed south of the Fox River Grove Metra Station along Algonquin Road and Lincoln Avenue.

## Access

The condominium parking garages would be accessible from the internal drives off of Algonquin Road and Lincoln Avenue. Pedestrian access would be provided between the proposed condominiums from/to the station area developments from/to the existing residential neighborhood.

## Building Placement

Buildings could be placed on lots within shaded areas (see Figure 13). It is recommended that building fronts shall face onto Algonquin Road and Lincoln Avenue. Additional entrances could be included facing the Village Green space.

It is recommended that buildings shall be set back fifteen (15) feet from Algonquin Road and thirty (30) feet from Lincoln Avenue and the existing residential area with landscaping and buffering required between the building and the adjacent residential streets. To provide pedestrian access and a greenway to the Village Green, buildings could be placed at a minimum distance of sixty (60) feet apart in the center of the site. It is recommended that buildings shall be set back fifteen (15) feet from the parking and driveway areas as shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14 Condominium Building Placement
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## Building Height

Building Height is measured relative to grade on Lincoln Avenue. The Condominiums could be three stories high and building height could vary in any building to create a variety of building forms as shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15 Condominium Building Height


Figure 16 Area E: Riverfront / Restaurant Row


## Urban Guidelines Area E - Riverfront/ Restaurant Row

## Building Sub Areas

The Riverfront/Restaurant Row development is comprised of two sub areas: Sub Area 1, the marina development and Sub Area 2, the restaurant row.

## Sub Area 1 - Marina Development

Riverfront development is between North River Road and the Fox River north of U.S. Route 14.

It is recommended that buildings along the River shall face onto the River. The main entrance for all buildings along the Fox River could be from North River Road.

## Sub Area 2 - Restaurant Row

Development of this area is proposed along U.S. Route 14 from Opatrny Drive to North River Road. Development is intended to create a restaurant corridor to link the Fox River Grove DBD to the Fox River.

## General

The purpose of this area is to create development along the riverfront in Fox River Grove which is a unique and important asset to the community. Restaurants and other forms of entertainment can aid in anchoring the Marina, particularly non-chain venues or "one of a kind" opportunities.

## Access

U.S. Route 14 provides easy access to Area E. The proposed Restaurant Row would be adjacent to Route 14 between Opatrny Drive and North River Road with parking for 43 cars between the restaurants and a landscape buffer. Shared parking (195 spaces between Lincoln Avenue and Opatrny Drive) could also be available from adjacent businesses to the east during evenings and weekends. The proposed Marina Development is located on the west side of North River Road and includes its own 43space parking lot. On the east side of North River Road is a proposed 56 -space lot, which could be used by both the marina and restaurants. In addition, the proposed parking structure for both commuters and commercial and residential uses on the south side of Route 14 could be accessed via both Lincoln Avenue and from U.S. Route 14 with Potential New Roads A and B. The construction of these roads and the proposed parking structure would require both public and private funding sources.

## Building Placement

## Sub Area 1 - Marina Development

Buildings could be placed in accordance with Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance.

## Sub Area 2 - Restaurant Row

Buildings could be placed on lots within the highlighted areas shown in Figure 16. It is recommended that the main entrance for all buildings shall face a landscaped pedestrian walkway which buffers the restaurants from the parking area and U.S. Route 14 as shown in Figure 17.

Buildings could be spaced to provide additional landscaping or outdoor dining opportunities between restaurants, see Figure 17.

Figure 17 Restaurant Row Building Placement


## Building Height

It is recommended that the buildings along U.S. Route 14 and buildings along the Fox River do not exceed two (2) stories in height as shown in Figure 18.

Figure 18 Marina/Restaurant Row Building Height


## Architectural Guidelines

* It is important to note the following architectural guidelines are an example from which the Village can draw to best suit their needs.


## Acceptable Materials

## Walls

- Face Brick: standard or modular size
- Stone: cut or squared
- Wood Siding
- Wood or Vinyl Trim

Doors \& Windows

- Wood, Aluminum or Vinyl/Aluminum Clad
- Clear Glazing


## Roofs

- Architectural Quality material designated by Village (i.e. slate or cedar shingles) on all buildings fronting the Village Green
- Material designated by Village (i.e. wood or asphalt shingles) elsewhere
- All rooftop materials to be fire retardant


## Other Elements

- Screen wall and chimney materials shall match dominant wall material
- Visible mechanical openings shall be covered with ornamental metal
- Handrails shall be made of metal, no members larger then 2" square


## Acceptable Forms

## Walls

- Masonry Coursing: running bond, soldier, rowlock, herringbone
- Wood Siding above eave line only
- Wood Siding to be clapboard or shiplap type
- Wood Trim to finish flush with shingles and siding
- Siding Exposure to be three and a half (3.5) inches to six (6) inches
- Vary elevations and horizontal datum lines
- Materials to be used in horizontal bands


## Doors \& Windows

- Window proportions to be vertical or square
- Not more than six windows in series in a single opening
- Total Glazed Area above the first floor shall not exceed thirty percent of the façade area


## Roofs

- At least fifty percent of all visible rooflines shall be pitched
- Roof pitch (except for shed dormers): 9/12 minimum, 14/12 maximum
- Roof pitch for shed dormers: 4/12 minimum
- Pitched roof surfaces to be broken by wall surfaces, such as gables or dormers at least every fifty (50) feet
- Gables and Hips shall be symmetrically pitched
- A parapet shall enclose flat roofs-minimum height: four (4) feet


## Other Elements

Protruding Bays shall project no more than three (3) feet from the wall.

## Parking Structures

- The proposed 3-story parking structure should reflect the desired architectural character of Fox River Grove.
- Break horizontal openings to establish a rhythm and scale relative to the façade at a minimum of every ten (10) feet.
- Structure should not be intrusive on the skyline by exceeding the height of surrounding buildings.
- Maximize the use of a below grade parking structure and work with existing slopes to minimize the visual impacts of a multi-level structure. For example, a parking structure could have multiple floors below the street level of Lincoln Avenue.
- The proposed parking structure shall have an enhanced exterior finish such as architectural pre-cast concrete, masonry construction or a combination of both.
- A twenty (20) foot minimum setback should be provided on all sides of the parking structure.
- Required Buffers: Complete vegetative and fence screening to two-thirds (2/3) of height of structure within the set back areas.
- Planter boxes placed on a minimum of two-thirds $(2 / 3)$ of exterior openings.


## Pedestrian Underpasses

- Pedestrian underpass will be ADA accessible. If stairs are designed, an elevator could provide access between the Fox River Grove Metra Station and the pedestrian underpass.
- Twenty-five (25) foot minimum width for underpass and ten (10) foot minimum internal height for underpass.
- Maximize the internal height of the underpass where feasible. In the event that the internal width of the underpass is greater than twenty-five (25) feet high, the height of underpass to the width and length of the underpass shall be at a ratio of 1:3:8.
- Exterior enhancement to underpass walls shall consist of quality architectural materials such as architectural pre cast concrete, brick, tile, etc.
- Architectural enhancement to interior walls could include materials such as tile or architectural pre cast.
- Provide ornamental lighting within underpass to provide a safe and aesthetically pleasing environment.
- Provide skylight in the median located above the underpass to allow natural light to enter the underpass.
- Structure should reflect the desired architectural character of Fox River Grove.


## LANDSCAPE GUIDELINES

* Additional to Landscape Standards of the Fox River Grove Zoning Ordinance.


## Village Green and Open Areas

- Trees shall be planted along all paths within open areas.
- Trees shall be salt tolerant species.
- Trees shall be a minimum of three (3) inch caliper.
- The Village shall coordinate seating, trash receptacles and bike racks. Refer to existing Library for site furniture options.
- Areas shall be provided for defined planting beds.
- It is recommended that at least thirty percent of walkway and paving shall be specialty paving (i.e. brick or concrete pavers, stone pavers, or textured/stone
aggregate concrete paving). It should be noted, this is considered an "upgrade" to transit amenities by Metra and may need outside funding.
- Ornamental lighting shall be provided throughout the Village Green Spaces and open areas. Lighting could include accessories for banners and hanging planters.
- Other site amenities shall be at the discretion of the landscape architect and Village staff.
- A common landscape element palette (benches, trash receptacles, lighting, paving, gateway element materials, etc.) shall be selected by the landscape architect and Village staff to be used consistently throughout the new redevelopment.
- Other site amenities shall be at the discretion of the landscape architect and Village staff. A double row (Allee) of shade trees shall be planted no more than twenty (20) feet apart and twenty (20) feet on center along the east and west edges of the greens.
- Other site amenities shall be at the discretion of the landscape architect and Village staff.
- All design should include a twelve month maintenance plan, specifications and a budget estimate to be submitted and reviewed by the Village of Fox River Grove.


## Streetscape

- All internal roadways and drives with abutting sidewalks shall have deciduous shade trees planted. One tree per every twenty-five (25) linear feet.
- Trees shall be planted in a five (5) foot by twenty (20) foot parkway with a five (5) foot walk/break wherever possible. If a parkway is not feasible and the sidewalk is less than twelve (12) feet wide, trees shall be planted in the sidewalk and a tree grate shall be provided.
- Tree spacing shall be altered to accommodate constraints:
- Intersection sightlines per IDOT standards.
- Alleys and Drives per IDOT standards.
o Fire hydrants and manholes shall be a minimum of five (5) feet from the centerline of the tree to the centerline of the object.
o Utilities such as light poles shall be a minimum of twelve (12) feet from the centerline of the tree to the centerline of the object.
o B-boxes, traffic control devices, etc. shall be a minimum of five (5) feet from the centerline of the tree to the centerline of the object.
- All parkways shall have a six (6) inch curb. A twelve (12) inch high ornamental metal fence on top of the curb is optional.
- Parkway trees shall be a minimum of four (4) inch caliper.
- Parkway trees shall be salt tolerant.
- Roadway and ornamental pedestrian lighting shall be provided in coordination with all other streetscape elements. Maintain maximum spacing of one (1) pedestrian light per one hundred (100) linear feet.
- Median in U.S. Route 14 should be a minimum eight (8) feet wide when feasible.
- All designs should include a twelve month maintenance plan, specifications and a budget estimate to be submitted and reviewed by the Village of Fox River Grove.


## Parking

- All parking areas shall provide internal planting areas.
- Internal planting area shall have one (1) tree per every 180 square feet of required landscape area.
- Internal planting island shall be spaced no further than 180 feet apart. Evergreen trees and bushes should not be planted within five feet of the back of a curb in order to minimize damage to roots by salt mixed with winter snow, as recommended per Metra's Project Manual for the Design of Surface Commuter Parking lots.
- Five (5) foot wide screening area shall be provided for all parking areas facing public roadway. Landscaped screening area shall not be counted toward required internal planting area.
- Trees within the screening area along the entire periphery of the parking areas shall be placed at rate of one (1) per twenty-five (25) linear feet and three (3) inches in caliper minimum size. Trees planted as screening shall not be counted toward required internal planting trees.
- Continuous screening hedge, maintained between thirty (30) and forty-eight (48) inches in height shall be provided along the area facing a public roadway. Any shrubs and hedges abutting Metra tracks should not exceed (30) inches in height at maturity or be maintained at that height.
- Use of spreading canopy trees is encouraged to increase shade and reduce the "urban heat island".


## Appendix B Desigin Guidelines

- The use of porous or permeable paving materials for overflow parking and other low use areas are encouraged.
- All design should include a twelve month maintenance plan, specifications and a budget estimate to be submitted and reviewed by the Village of Fox River Grove.
- Landscaping around the Fox River Grove Metra Station shall relate to the Metra's Project Manual for the Design of Surface Commuter Parking Lots and coordinate functions to prevent conflict with daily activity such as operations, lights, and snow removal operations.
- The location and type of plants should not interfere with vehicular and/or pedestrian visibility. Mature plant size should be considered so as not to restrict safe sight distances at entrances/exits and at vehicular-pedestrian intersections. Shrubs and hedges should not exceed thirty (30) inches at maturity, or be maintained at that height. Shade trees should be branched no lower than seven (7) feet at time of installation. This will increase a sense of security for users and provide easier surveillance of the area. Interference with trains and required setbacks must be considered.


## Appendix C: Metra Ridership Information
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Union Pacific Northwest Line: Fall 2002 Station Boardings/Alightings by Time-of-Day and by Direction

| Station | Mile <br> Post | AM PEAK |  |  |  | MIDDAY |  |  |  | PM PEAK |  |  |  | EVENING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inbound |  | Outbound |  | Inbound |  | Outbound |  | Inbound |  | Outbound |  | Inbound |  | Outbound |  |
|  |  | on | off | on | off | on | off | on | off | on | off | on | off | on | off | on | off |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Harvard | 63.1 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Woodstock | 51.6 | 258 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 110 | 6 | 4 | 39 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 322 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 23 |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | 1,214 | 23 | 2 | 90 | 234 | 13 | 13 | 217 | 62 | 6 | 24 | 1,077 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 141 |
| Cary | 38.6 | 840 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 111 | 7 | 5 | 115 | 59 | 3 | 11 | 765 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 101 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | 357 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 55 | 4 | 2 | 44 | 21 | 6 | 5 | 302 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 46 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 1,332 | 61 | 16 | 137 | 136 | 12 | 5 | 198 | 118 | 7 | 63 | 1,187 | 51 | 5 | 3 | 128 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 1,399 | 35 | 12 | 72 | 245 | 24 | 13 | 217 | 125 | 11 | 62 | 1,336 | 29 | 11 | 9 | 168 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 1,223 | 39 | 5 | 129 | 166 | 13 | 10 | 147 | 147 | 7 | 58 | 1,209 | 55 | 3 | 1 | 144 |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 1,844 | 81 | 16 | 143 | 277 | 29 | 56 | 222 | 170 | 17 | 79 | 1,725 | 45 | 10 | 9 | 221 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 1,317 | 56 | 18 | 54 | 162 | 13 | 12 | 128 | 51 | 14 | 67 | 1,191 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 158 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 279 | 54 | 6 | 35 | 51 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 32 | 4 | 18 | 236 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 614 | 115 | 19 | 71 | 113 | 32 | 38 | 72 | 64 | 33 | 111 | 578 | 26 | 11 | 6 | 101 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 306 | 14 | 11 | 20 | 36 | 5 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 6 | 20 | 276 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 660 | 81 | 32 | 25 | 78 | 17 | 17 | 84 | 36 | 27 | 87 | 576 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 55 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | 490 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 46 | 7 | 2 | 55 | 9 | 16 | 18 | 419 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 65 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 215 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 178 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 21 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 352 | 96 | 161 | 5 | 21 | 72 | 59 | 34 | 23 | 103 | 89 | 338 | 3 | 54 | 41 | 40 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 224 | 31 | 102 | 2 | 34 | 21 | 20 | 13 | 5 | 93 | 55 | 201 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 16 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 116 | 477 | 146 | 5 | 11 | 37 | 31 | 7 | 8 | 109 | 194 | 97 | 0 | 49 | 23 | 8 |
| Ogilvie Transportation Cntr | 0.0 | 0 | 12,245 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 1,656 | 1,417 | 0 | 0 | 497 | 11,448 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1,391 | 0 |
| Total UP Northwest |  | 13,455 | 13,455 | 857 | 857 | 1,975 | 1,975 | 1,715 | 1,715 | 978 | 978 | 12,431 | 12,431 | 333 | 333 | 1,528 | 1,528 |

Union Pacific Northwest Line: Weekday Station Passenger Boardings \& Alightings -- Fall 2002

| Station | Mile <br> Post | Fare <br> Zone | Station <br> Location | Total Passengers Entering \& Leaving Stations |  |  |  |  |  | Total AM* <br> Boardings | Transfer Passengers** |  | Outlying <br> Boarding <br> Rank*** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Inbound Trains |  | Outbound Trains |  | All Trains |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |  | Ons | Offs |  |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 | K | McHenry | 140 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 140 | 122 | 140 |  |  | 188 |
| Harvard | 63.1 | M | McHenry | 259 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 259 | 263 | 215 |  |  | 157 |
| Woodstock | 51.6 | K | McHenry | 409 | 13 | 6 | 397 | 415 | 410 | 345 |  |  | 124 |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | I | McHenry | 1,538 | 51 | 41 | 1,525 | 1,579 | 1,576 | 1,360 |  |  | 18 |
| Cary | 38.6 | H | McHenry | 1,019 | 17 | 16 | 1,003 | 1,035 | 1,020 | 920 |  |  | 54 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | H | McHenry | 437 | 22 | 12 | 399 | 449 | 421 | 398 |  |  | 115 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | G | Sub Cook | 1,637 | 85 | 87 | 1,650 | 1,724 | 1,735 | 1,442 |  |  | 9 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | F | Sub Cook | 1,798 | 81 | 96 | 1,793 | 1,894 | 1,874 | 1,591 |  |  | 7 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | E | Sub Cook | 1,591 | 62 | 74 | 1,629 | 1,665 | 1,691 | 1,342 |  |  | 11 |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | E | Sub Cook | 2,336 | 137 | 160 | 2,311 | 2,496 | 2,448 | 2,075 | 60 | 60 | 3 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | D | Sub Cook | 1,554 | 87 | 101 | 1,531 | 1,655 | 1,618 | 1,459 |  |  | 11 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | D | Sub Cook | 364 | 62 | 29 | 323 | 393 | 385 | 318 |  |  | 132 |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | D | Sub Cook | 817 | 191 | 174 | 822 | 991 | 1,013 | 730 |  |  | 61 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | C | Sub Cook | 355 | 26 | 33 | 359 | 388 | 385 | 347 |  |  | 135 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | C | Sub Cook | 784 | 134 | 148 | 740 | 932 | 874 | 760 |  |  | 66 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | C | Chicago | 551 | 39 | 42 | 546 | 593 | 585 | 536 |  |  | 91 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | C | Chicago | 240 | 37 | 29 | 225 | 269 | 262 | 244 |  |  | 154 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | B | Chicago | 115 | 4 | 9 | 127 | 124 | 131 | 115 |  |  | 192 |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | B | Chicago | 399 | 325 | 350 | 417 | 749 | 742 | 554 |  |  | 79 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | B | Chicago | 263 | 173 | 188 | 232 | 451 | 405 | 362 |  |  | 113 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | A | Chicago | 135 | 672 | 394 | 117 | 529 | 789 | 280 |  |  | 57 |
| Ogilvie Trans. Center | 0.0 | A | Chicago | 0 | 14,523 | 14,542 | 0 | 14,542 | 14,523 | 563 |  |  |  |
| Total UP Northwest |  |  |  | 16,741 | 16,741 | 16,531 | 16,531 | 33,272 | 33,272 | 16,096 | 60 | 60 |  |

Station Summary: Union Pacific Northwest Line Count Conducted Thursday, November 7, 2002

| STATION | MP | Inbound Trains |  | Outbound Trains |  | All Trains |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 259 | 263 |
| Woodstock | 51.6 | 409 | 13 | 6 | 397 | 415 | 410 |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 140 | 122 |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | 1,538 | 51 | 41 | 1,525 | 1,579 | 1,576 |
| Cary | 38.6 | 1,019 | 17 | 16 | 1,003 | 1,035 | 1,020 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | 437 | 22 | 12 | 399 | 449 | 421 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 1,637 | 85 | 87 | 1,650 | 1,724 | 1,735 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 1,798 | 81 | 96 | 1,793 | 1,894 | 1,874 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 1,591 | 62 | 74 | 1,629 | 1,665 | 1,691 |
| Arlington Hts Transfer | 22.8 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 2,336 | 137 | 160 | 2,311 | 2,496 | 2,448 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 1,554 | 87 | 101 | 1,531 | 1,655 | 1,618 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 364 | 62 | 29 | 323 | 393 | 385 |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 817 | 191 | 174 | 822 | 991 | 1,013 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 355 | 26 | 33 | 359 | 388 | 385 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 784 | 134 | 148 | 740 | 932 | 874 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | 551 | 39 | 42 | 546 | 593 | 585 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 240 | 37 | 29 | 225 | 269 | 262 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | 115 | 4 | 9 | 127 | 124 | 131 |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 399 | 325 | 350 | 417 | 749 | 742 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 263 | 173 | 188 | 232 | 451 | 405 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 135 | 672 | 394 | 117 | 529 | 789 |
| Ogilvie Transportation Center | 0.0 | 0 | 14,523 | 14,542 | 0 | 14,542 | 14,523 |
| Total |  | 16,741 | 16,741 | 16,591 | 16,591 | 33,332 | 33,332 |
| Passenger Miles |  |  | 414,229 |  | 409,833 |  | 824,062 |
| Average Trip Length |  |  | 24.7 |  | 24.7 |  | 24.7 |

Union Pacific Northwest Line Inbound

| Station | Train: <br> Depart: <br> Arrive: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 0 2} \\ 4: 50 \mathrm{AM} \\ 6: 12 \mathrm{AM} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 0 4} \\ \text { 5:20 AM } \\ \text { 6:42 AM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 0 6}$5:40 AM7:03 AM |  | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$5:53 AM7:14 AM |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 1 0} \\ 5: 48 \mathrm{AM} \\ 7: 19 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 1 2}$6:27 AM$7: 35 \mathrm{AM}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 1 4} \\ \text { 6:18 AM } \\ \text { 7:40 AM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 1 6} \\ \text { 6:35 AM } \\ \text { 7:50 AM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MilePost | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woodstock | 51.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | 67 |  | 64 |  | 76 |  |  |  | 270 | 10 |  |  | 60 |  | 154 |  |
| Cary | 38.6 | 41 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 47 | 0 |  |  | 217 | 0 |  |  | 42 | 1 |  |  |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 0 |  |  | 101 | 0 |  |  | 26 | 0 |  |  |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 210 | 3 | 11 |  | 35 | 1 |  |  |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 65 | 2 | 70 | 1 | 61 | 2 | 214 | 0 |  |  | 60 | 3 | 123 | 8 | 76 | 2 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 35 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 152 | 0 | 147 | 18 | 9 | 6 | 96 | 3 |  |  |
| Arlington Hts Transfer | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 67 | 1 | 83 | 2 | 69 | 8 | 253 | 2 |  |  | 75 | 0 | 119 | 2 | 107 | 5 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 48 | 3 | 72 | 1 | 52 | 11 | 202 | 3 |  |  | 66 | 0 | 106 | 18 |  |  |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 50 | 27 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 5 |  |  |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 19 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 40 | 7 |  |  | 88 | 28 | 35 | 3 | 74 | 0 | 18 | 12 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 50 | 1 |  |  | 59 | 4 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 22 | 2 | 32 | 5 | 63 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 84 | 16 | 101 | 8 | 42 | 12 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | 26 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 39 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 76 | 4 |  |  | 107 | 2 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 34 | 2 |  |  | 64 | 7 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 10 | 14 | 27 | 13 | 24 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 61 | 16 |  |  | 91 | 8 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 26 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 5 |  |  | 49 | 1 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 49 | 4 | 56 | 7 | 21 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 25 |
| Ogilvie Trnspr Center | 0.0 |  | 482 |  | 617 |  | 588 |  | 966 |  | 1,070 |  | 545 |  | 757 |  | 696 |
| Total PassengersMaximum Load |  | 525 | 525 | 707 | 707 | 677 | 677 | 1,047 | 1,047 | 1,186 | 1,186 | 622 | 622 | 824 | 824 | 774 | 774 |
|  |  |  | 490 |  | 663 |  | 597 |  | 1,013 |  | 1,122 |  | 559 |  | 776 |  | 714 |
| Maximum Load <br> Maximum Load Point Intermediate Passengers |  |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |
|  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  | 89 |  | 81 |  | 116 |  | 77 |  | 67 |  | 78 |
| Passenger Miles |  |  | 13,167 |  | 15,906 |  | 14,357 |  | 26,074 |  | 42,305 |  | 9,237 |  | 19,125 |  | 15,306 |
| Average Trip Length |  |  | 25.1 |  | 22.5 |  | 21.2 |  | 24.9 |  | 35.7 |  | 14.9 |  | 23.2 |  | 19.8 |

Thursday, November 7, 2002
Union Pacific Northwest Line Inbound

| Station | Train: <br> Depart: <br> Arrive: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 1 8} \\ \text { 7:11 AM } \\ \text { 7:55 AM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 0} \\ \text { 6:22 AM } \\ \text { 8:00 AM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 2 2} \\ \text { 6:54 AM } \\ 8: 15 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 2 4} \\ 7: 00 \mathrm{AM} \\ 8: 24 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{6 2 6} \\ 7: 27 \mathrm{AM} \\ 8: 30 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 2 8} \\ 7: 42 \mathrm{AM} \\ 8: 35 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 3 0} \\ 7: 08 \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { 8:40 AM } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 3 2} \\ 7: 36 \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { 8:51 AM } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MilePost | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Woodstock | 51.6 |  |  | 96 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 0 |  |  |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 |  |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 |  |  | 219 | 10 |  |  | 108 |  |  |  |  |  | 196 | 3 |  |  |
| Cary | 38.6 |  |  | 146 | 2 | 152 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 | 2 |  |  |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 |  |  | 73 | 6 | 50 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 3 |  |  |
| Barrington | 31.9 |  |  | 263 | 18 | 210 | 11 | 52 | 18 | 33 |  | 125 |  | 37 | 8 | 93 | 1 |
| Palatine | 26.8 |  |  | 344 | 12 |  |  | 46 | 3 |  |  | 314 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 354 |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 9 | 54 | 1 | 226 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Hts Transfer | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 447 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 111 | 55 | 122 | 4 | 345 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 341 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 91 | 16 | 149 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 190 | 1 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 |  |  | 72 | 12 |  |  | 20 | 1 | 38 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 |  |  |  |  | 110 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 59 | 0 |  |  | 77 | 29 |  |  |
| Dee Road | 15.0 |  |  |  |  | 70 | 4 |  |  | 53 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 |  |  |  |  | 147 | 12 |  |  | 120 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Edison Park | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 | 0 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irving Park | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 8 | 54 | 5 | 44 | 17 | 24 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 18 | 5 | 28 | 9 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Ogilvie Trnspr Center | 0.0 |  | 1,096 |  | 1,164 |  | 749 |  | 677 |  | 603 |  | 983 |  | 590 |  | 416 |
| Total Passengers <br> Maximum Load <br> Maximum Load Point Intermediate Passengers <br> Passenger Miles <br> Average Trip Length |  | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,270 | 1,270 | 813 | 813 | 845 | 845 | 634 | 634 | 1,015 | 1,015 | 649 | 649 | 429 | 429 |
|  |  |  | 1,142 |  | 1,203 |  | 756 |  | 682 |  | 615 |  | 1,006 |  | 595 |  | 424 |
|  |  |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |  | Clybourn |
|  |  |  |  |  | 106 |  | 64 |  | 168 |  | 31 |  | 32 |  | 59 |  | 13 |
|  |  |  | 25,516 |  | 42,934 |  | 21,395 |  | 14,251 |  | 11,968 |  | 25,617 |  | 24,692 |  | 9,443 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 33.8 |  | 26.3 |  | 16.9 |  | 18.9 |  | 25.2 |  | 38.0 |  | 22.0 |

Thursday, November 7, 2002
Union Pacific Northwest Line Inbound

|  |  | 6 $7: 47$ $8: 58$ | 4 AM AM | 6 7:35 9:20 | 6 AM AM | 63 $9: 00$ $10: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \text { AM } \\ & \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | 6 9 9:35 $11: 2$ | AM AM | 6 $11: 0$ $12: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & \mathrm{AM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | 6 $12: 0$ $1: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | 6 $1: 3$ $3: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | 64 $3: 00$ $4: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | MilePost | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| Woodstock | 51.6 |  |  | 42 | 1 |  |  | 43 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 2 |  |  |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 |  |  | 61 | 5 | 32 |  | 41 | 7 | 46 |  | 26 |  | 28 | 1 | 18 |  |
| Cary | 38.6 |  |  | 44 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 |  |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 2 |  | 30 | 8 | 38 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 30 | 1 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 26 | 0 | 90 | 13 | 62 | 3 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 28 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 37 | 3 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 8 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 34 | 2 |
| Arlington Hts Transfer | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 46 | 0 | 110 | 13 | 65 | 6 | 37 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 60 | 4 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 |  |  | 47 | 5 | 50 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 26 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 22 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 29 | 4 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 16 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 49 | 16 | 31 | 4 | 22 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 10 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 |  |  | 15 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 39 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 29 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 28 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| Ogilvie Trnspr Center | 0.0 |  | 246 |  | 573 |  | 347 |  | 291 |  | 164 |  | 147 |  | 134 |  | 157 |
| Total Passengers <br> Maximum Load <br> Maximum Load Point Intermediate Passengers <br> Passenger Miles Average Trip Length |  | 288 | 288 | 688 | 688 | 386 | 386 | 344 | 344 | 198 | 198 | 171 | 171 | 188 | 188 | 269 | 269 |
|  |  | 249Clybourn |  | $\begin{array}{r} 586 \\ \text { Clybourn } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 358 \\ \text { Jefferson Park } \end{array}$ |  | 305Jefferson Park |  | $\begin{array}{r} 173 \\ \text { Jefferson Park } \end{array}$ |  | 158Jefferson Park |  | 151 |  | 221 |  |
|  |  | Norwood Park |  |  |  | Edison Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 42 | 115 |  |  |  |  | 39 | 53 |  |  | 34 | 24 |  | 1125,297 |  |
|  |  |  | 4,070 |  | 17,377 |  | 8,945 |  | 9,999 |  | 5,106 |  | 4,393 | 54 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14.1 | 25.3 |  | 23.2 |  | 29.1 |  | 25.8 |  | 25.7 |  | 28.5 |  | 19.7 |  |

Blank cells are non-stops.

|  | Train: <br> Depart: <br> Arrive: | 650 $4: 10$ $5: 30$ | PM | 65 $4: 3$ $6: 20$ | PM PM | 6 60 $6: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { PM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ 5:3 7:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{6} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ $8: 00$ $9: 20$ |  | $\mathbf{6}$ $8: 3$ $10: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { PM } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ $11: 5$ $1: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & \mathrm{PM} \\ & \mathrm{AM} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | MilePost | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Woodstock | 51.6 |  |  | 20 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | 22 |  | 22 | 6 |  |  | 11 | 5 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |
| Cary | 38.6 | 28 | 2 | 22 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 41 | 2 | 47 | 4 | 32 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 43 | 2 | 45 | 6 |  |  | 21 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 54 | 1 | 59 | 4 | 34 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Arlington Hts Transfer | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 60 | 4 | 50 | 9 |  |  | 21 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 23 | 5 | 23 | 4 |  |  | 14 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 21 | 1 | 10 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 25 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 18 | 37 | 2 | 37 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 |  |  |
| Ogilvie Trnspr Center | 0.0 |  | 200 |  | 140 |  | 37 |  | 32 |  | 22 |  | 29 |  | 5 |
| Total Passengers <br> Maximum Load <br> Maximum Load Point Intermediate Passengers <br> Passenger Miles <br> Average Trip Length |  | 373 | 373 | 336 | 336 | 86 | 86 | 118 | 118 | 45 | 45 | 73 | 73 | 11 | 11 |
|  |  | 305Norwood Park |  |  | 278 | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ \text { Jefferson Park } \end{array}$ |  |  | 80 | 37Jefferson Park |  | 54 |  | 8 |  |
|  |  | Des Plaines | Edison Park 86 |  | Cumberland |  | Jefferson Park |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 173 |  |  |  | 196 | 49 |  | 23 |  |  |  | 6 |  |
|  |  |  | 7,564 |  | 7,636 | 1,818 |  | 2,554 |  | 959 |  | 1,635 |  | 22720.6 |  |
|  |  |  | 20.3 |  | 22.7 |  | 21.1 |  | 21.6 |  | 21.3 |  | 22.4 |  |  |

Station/Train Passenger Count:
Union Pacific Northwest Line Inbound


[^6]


[^7]

Blank cells are non-stops.
Station Summary -- UP Northwest Line
Count Conducted Saturday, October 9, 1999

Saturday, October 9, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound


[^8]Saturday, October 9, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound

Saturday, October 9, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound

Saturday, October 9, 1999
Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound


[^9]Saturday, October 9, 1999




[^10]Saturday, October 9, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Outbound


[^11]Saturday, October 9, 1999




719
$6: 30 \mathrm{pm}$
$8: 18 \mathrm{pm}$
0 -
Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Outbound

Blank cells are non-stops.
Saturday, October 9, 1999
Station Summary -- UP Northwest Line Count Conducted Sunday, October 10, 1999

| STATION | MP | Inbound Trains |  | Outbound Trains |  | All Trains |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs | Ons | Offs |
| Harvard | 63.1 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 197 | 188 |
| Woodstock | 51.6 | 153 | 2 | 3 | 149 | 156 | 151 |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | 50.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crystal Lake | 43.2 | 310 | 12 | 15 | 328 | 325 | 340 |
| Cary | 38.6 | 108 | 8 | 7 | 123 | 115 | 131 |
| Fox River Grove | 37.3 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 52 | 60 | 67 |
| Barrington | 31.9 | 193 | 10 | 6 | 196 | 199 | 206 |
| Palatine | 26.8 | 159 | 19 | 16 | 171 | 175 | 190 |
| Arlington Park | 24.4 | 143 | 17 | 14 | 157 | 157 | 174 |
| Arlington Heights | 22.8 | 171 | 26 | 38 | 191 | 209 | 217 |
| Mount Prospect | 20.0 | 121 | 13 | 48 | 137 | 169 | 150 |
| Cumberland | 18.6 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 29 | 18 |
| Des Plaines | 17.1 | 49 | 37 | 44 | 51 | 93 | 88 |
| Dee Road | 15.0 | 10 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 24 |
| Park Ridge | 13.5 | 18 | 32 | 51 | 21 | 69 | 53 |
| Edison Park | 12.6 | 10 | 20 | 22 | 16 | 32 | 36 |
| Norwood Park | 11.4 | 5 | 23 | 14 | 2 | 19 | 25 |
| Gladstone Park | 10.1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| Jefferson Park | 9.1 | 24 | 137 | 155 | 18 | 179 | 155 |
| Irving Park | 7.0 | 6 | 86 | 81 | 16 | 87 | 102 |
| Clybourn | 2.9 | 0 | 66 | 69 | 2 | 69 | 68 |
| Ogilvie Transportation Center | 0.0 | 0 | 1,208 | 1,220 | 0 | 1,220 | 1,208 |
|  |  | 1,744 | 1,744 | 1,849 | 1,849 | 3,593 | 3,593 |
| Passenger Miles |  |  | 54,550 |  | 55,494 |  | 110,044 |
| Average Trip Length |  |  | 31.3 |  | 30.0 |  | 30.6 |

Sunday, October 10, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound
October 10, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Inbound
Sunday, October 10, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Outbound
Sunday, October 10, 1999

Station/Train Passenger Count -- Union Pacific Northwest Outbound


[^12]Fall 2002 Origin-Destination Survey

Union Pacific Northwest Line: Metra Station Parking Statistics

| Station | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Fare } \\ & \text { Zone } \end{aligned}$ | MP | 2001 permit |  |  | 2001 daily |  | 2001 mixed |  | 2001 total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cap | Use | EUse | Cap | Use | Cap | Use | Cap | Use | EUse | \%EUse |
| Clybourn | A | 2.9 |  |  |  | 91 | 87 |  |  | 91 | 87 | 87 | 95.6\% |
| Irving Park | B | 7.0 |  |  |  | 129 | 123 |  |  | 129 | 123 | 123 | 95.3\% |
| Jefferson Park | B | 9.1 |  |  |  | 137 | 133 |  |  | 137 | 133 | 133 | 97.1\% |
| Gladstone Park | B | 10.1 |  |  |  | 32 | 28 |  |  | 32 | 28 | 28 | 87.5\% |
| Norwood Park | C | 11.4 |  |  |  | 107 | 88 |  |  | 107 | 88 | 88 | 82.2\% |
| Edison Park | C | 12.6 |  |  |  | 252 | 251 |  |  | 252 | 251 | 251 | 99.6\% |
| Park Ridge | C | 13.5 | 264 | 132 | 264 | 291 | 266 |  |  | 555 | 398 | 530 | 95.5\% |
| Dee Road | C | 15.0 | 66 | 54 | 66 | 59 | 58 |  |  | 125 | 112 | 124 | 99.2\% |
| Des Plaines | D | 17.1 | 196 | 132 | 196 |  |  | 215 | 147 | 411 | 279 | 343 | 83.5\% |
| Cumberland | D | 18.6 |  |  |  | 259 | 232 |  |  | 259 | 232 | 232 | 89.6\% |
| Mount Prospect | D | 20.0 | 50 | 21 | 50 | 631 | 616 | 125 | 125 | 806 | 762 | 791 | 98.1\% |
| Arlington Heights | E | 22.8 | 400 | 297 | 400 | 954 | 742 |  |  | 1,354 | 1,039 | 1,142 | 84.3\% |
| Arlington Park | E | 24.4 | 580 | 557 | 580 | 561 | 507 | 71 | 17 | 1,212 | 1,081 | 1,104 | 91.1\% |
| Palatine | F | 26.4 | 180 | 150 | 180 | 985 | 973 |  |  | 1,165 | 1,123 | 1,153 | 99.0\% |
| Barrington | G | 31.9 | 268 | 205 | 268 |  |  | 643 | 636 | 911 | 841 | 904 | 99.2\% |
| Fox River Grove | H | 37.3 |  |  |  | 307 | 213 |  |  | 307 | 213 | 213 | 69.4\% |
| Cary | H | 38.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 606 | 573 | 606 | 573 | 573 | 94.6\% |
| Crystal Lake | I | 43.2 | 441 | 348 | 441 | 561 | 561 |  |  | 1,002 | 909 | 1,002 | 100.0\% |
| McHenry (Branch Line) | K | 50.6 |  |  |  | 109 | 83 |  |  | 109 | 83 | 83 | 76.1\% |
| Woodstock | K | 51.6 |  |  |  | 424 | 247 |  |  | 424 | 247 | 247 | 58.3\% |
| Harvard | M | 63.1 |  |  |  | 136 | 119 |  |  | 136 | 119 | 119 | 87.5\% |
| total |  |  | 2,445 | 1,896 | 2,445 | 6,025 | 5,327 | 1,660 | 1,498 | 10,130 | 8,721 | 9,270 | 91.5\% |

Origin of All Riders Using the Fox River Grove Station
(Drive, Walk, Bus, Carpool, Dropoff, Etc.)

Source: Fall 2002 Origin-Destination Survey
Geocoded addresses are weighted by AM boardings from the 2002 Boarding/Alighting Counts

## Appendix D: Marketing Brochure


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.nipc.cog.il.us/intro1.htm.

[^1]:    *Source: Claritas, Inc. April 26, 2002 Report

[^2]:    *Source: Chicago Tribune.

[^3]:    *Source: IDOT website: http://www.dot.state.il.us/tpublic.html

[^4]:    *Source: IDOT website: http://www.dot.state.il.us/tpublic.html

[^5]:    *Source: IDOT website: http://www.dot.state.il.us/tpublic.html

[^6]:    Blank cells are non-stops.
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[^8]:    Blank cells are non-stops.

[^9]:    Blank cells are non-stops.
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